# Electronic Simulation Work at Victoria and TRIUMF

Victoria: Erica Muzzerall, Dr. Richard KeelerTRIUMF: Dr. Chris Oram

# Outline

- Catch up on test beam simulation
  - NIM paper, Leonid
- Examine non-ideal signal source
- Conclusion

#### Leonid's HEC Chain



# **PSPICE Simulations**

- Cadence PCB Series Software
- PSpice version 9.2
- Can create schematics for analog or mixed signal designs, PCB layout
- PSpice can simulate analog designs
  - PSpice libraries contain over 11,000 parts
  - can download PSpice models directly from manufacturer's web sites

### **HEC Electronics Chain**



July 10, 2001

# **Calibration Chain**



July 10, 2001

### **Cold Electronics**



July 10, 2001

#### Warm Electronics





#### Left: fig 8 from HEC Note 109 Right: our PSpice output

#### Non Ideal Signal Source



July 10, 2001

# Hypothesis:

- Why these residuals?
- Consider 2 possible sources:
  - Unequal Liquid Argon gaps in EST
  - Uncertainty in the gap capacitances
- Future: Investigate cross talk





### Gap Tolerance



July 10, 2001

# Model EST



July 10, 2001





July 10, 2001

#### **Output from Perfect EST**



# Modified Model EST



July 10, 2001

#### **Output from Modified Model EST**



red: ideal EST green: modified EST Gap change = 0.2 mm

## Procedure

- Gap change was adjusted to approximate peak height at end of NIM residual spectra
  - 400 V : 0.055 mm
  - 1800 V: 0.076 mm
- The capacitance of ideal circuit was altered to approximate distortions at the beginning of NIM residual spectra
  - Ideal capacitance changed from 100 pf to 99 pf

# Procedure

1800 V			∆g 400 V	1800V
$\left. \begin{array}{c} C = 96.3 \text{ pf} \\ Td = 576.6 \text{ ns} \end{array} \right\}$	Gap l	g+∆ g	0.055 mm	0.076 mm
$\left. \begin{array}{c} C = 101.3 \text{ pf} \\ Td = 538.8 \text{ ns} \end{array} \right\} $	Gap 2	g-∑g/3		
$\left. \begin{array}{c} C = 101.3 \text{ pf} \\ Td = 538.8 \text{ ns} \end{array} \right\}$	Gap 3	g-∆g/3		
$\left. \begin{array}{c} C = 101.3 \text{ pf} \\ Td = 538.8 \text{ ns} \end{array} \right\}$	Gap 4	g-∆g/3		
	1800 V C = 96.3 pf Td = 576.6 ns C = 101.3 pf Td = 538.8 ns C = 101.3 pf C = 100 pf C = 10	$ \begin{array}{c}     1800 V \\     C = 96.3 pf \\     Td = 576.6 ns \\     Gap 1 \\     Gap 2 \\     Gap 2 \\     C = 101.3 pf \\     Td = 538.8 ns \\     Gap 3 \\     Gap 4 \\     Td = 538.8 ns \\     Gap 4 \\     Td = 538.8 ns \\   \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c c} 1800 \ V \\ \hline C = 96.3 \ pf \\ Td = 576.6 \ ns \end{array} & Gap \ l \\ \hline C = 101.3 \ pf \\ Td = 538.8 \ ns \end{array} & Gap \ 2 \\ \hline C = 101.3 \ pf \\ Td = 538.8 \ ns \end{array} & Gap \ 3 \\ \hline C = 101.3 \ pf \\ Td = 538.8 \ ns \end{array} & Gap \ 4 \\ \hline C = 101.3 \ pf \\ Td = 538.8 \ ns \end{array} & Gap \ 4 \\ \hline C = 101.3 \ pf \\ Td = 538.8 \ ns \end{array} & Gap \ 4 \\ \hline C = 101.3 \ pf \\ Td = 538.8 \ ns \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c c} 1800 V \\ \hline C = 96.3 \text{ pf} \\ Td = 576.6 \text{ ns} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} Gap 1 \\ Gap 1 \\ \hline Gap 2 \\ \hline Gap 2 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 4 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 4 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 4 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 4 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 3 \\ \hline Gap 4 \\ $

#### T<sub>d</sub> takes into account E-field change

# Results



July 10, 2001

#### Results – realistic shaper



# Conclusion

- The investigated effects are a plausible source of the observed residuals between the data and the nominal model.
- Future: Investigate cross talk