## **Lecture 19**

- The Parton Model
- Bjorken Scaling
- Parton Distribution Functions

Slides from Sobie and Blokland

# **Extending the Rutherford Experiment**

Recall that based on <sup>a</sup> surprisingly high number of large-angle events in elastic -scattering, Rutherford deduced atomic substructure (i.e., the nucleus)



In a similar fashion, one can investigate the angles involved in  $e$   $p$  scattering, particularly in the *deep inelastic scattering* regime where  $q^2$  is large.

is large.<br>960s). The them as The proton was found to have substructure (SLAC, late 1960s). These constituents came to be known as *partons*. Although we now recognize them as quarks and gluons

# **Three Levels of Behavior**

- A low-energy electron scatters *elastically* off <sup>a</sup> proton. This is relatively simple to understand in terms of the *elastic form*  $\mathit{factors}\ K_1(q^2)$  and  $K_2(q^2)$ .
- $\emph{i}$ nelastic structure functions  $W_1(q^2,x)$  and  $W_2(q^2)$ A medium-energy electron usually scatters *inelastically* off <sup>a</sup> proton. This behavior is quite complicated and it involves the
- $(a, x)$  and  $W_2$ <br>alastically off scattering and it involves *parton distribution functions*. *inelastic structure functions*  $W_1(q^2,x)$  *and*  $W_2(q^2,x)$ *.<br>A high-energy electron scatters <i>elastically* off partons within t<br>proton. This behavior is simpler to understand than inelastic A high-energy electron scatters *elastically* off partons within the

#### **Elastic and inelastic scattering**



## **Parton scattering**

• The cross section  $e + p \rightarrow e + X$  should reduce to  $e + q \rightarrow e + q$  (which is identical to  $e + \mu \rightarrow e + \mu$ )

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega dE_3}(e\mu \to e\mu) = \frac{4\alpha^2 E_3^2}{q^4} \left[ \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{q^2}{2m} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right] \delta(\nu + \frac{q^2}{2m})
$$

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega dE_3}(ep \to eX) = \frac{4\alpha^2 E_3^2}{q^4} \left[ W_2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + 2W_1 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right]
$$

where  $\nu = E_1 - E_3$  (initial and final energies)

- For convience define  $Q^2 -q^2$  ( $Q^2$  is a negative quantity).
- Relating  $e\mu \rightarrow e\mu$  and  $ep \rightarrow eX$  cross sections gives

$$
2W_1^{point}=\frac{Q^2}{2m}\delta(\nu-\frac{Q^2}{2m}) \hspace{1cm} W_2^{point}=\delta(\nu-\frac{Q^2}{2m})
$$

• At large  $Q^2$ , inelastic  $ep$  scattering is viewed as elastic e-quark scattering off a free quark inside the proton

# **Bjorken Scaling**

Bjorken's hypothesis was that the inelastic structure functions, at high energy and *at* fixed *x* (recall  $x = Q^2/2M\nu$ ), cease to depend on  $q^2$ . More specifically,<br>  $MW_1(\nu, Q^2) \rightarrow F_1(x)$ <br>  $\nu W_2(\nu, Q^2) \rightarrow F_2(x)$ 

 $\nu$ ,  $Q^2$ )  $\rightarrow$   $F_1(x)$   $\nu$   $W_2(\nu, Q^2) \rightarrow F_2(x)$ 

as  $Q^2 \to$ 

This behavior, which was confirmed in the 1970s at SLAC, is known as *scaling*.







## **Callan-Gross relation**

- Suppose each parton in the proton carries a fraction  $x$  of the proton momentum  $p_i^{\mu} = xp^{\mu}$  and  $m_i = xM_p$
- Comparing the  $e q \rightarrow e q$  and  $e q \rightarrow e X$  cross sections gives

momentum 
$$
p_i^{\mu} = xp^{\mu}
$$
 and  $m_i = xM_p$   
\nComparing the  $e q \rightarrow e q$  and  $e q \rightarrow e X$  cross sections gives  
\n
$$
2W_1^i(point) = e_i^2 \frac{Q^2}{2M_p^2 x} \delta(\nu - \frac{Q^2}{2M_p x})
$$
\n
$$
W_2^i(point) = e_i^2 \delta(\nu - \frac{Q^2}{2M_p x})
$$
\nTo get the total contributions of all the partons we need to integrate over all x and weight it by the probability  $f(x)$  for part is having fraction x of the partor.

 $\frac{1}{x}$ <br>ati  $\int^T u^2 dx = 2M_p x^2$  and  $2M_p x$  and  $2M_p x$ <br>To get the total contributions of all the partons we need to integrate over all x and weight it by the probability  $f_i(x)$  for parti  $i$  having fraction  $x$  of the proton momentum

$$
W_2(point) = \sum_i \int_0^1 dx f_i(x) e_i^2 \delta(\nu - \frac{Q^2}{2M_p x})
$$

This gives

$$
\nu W_2(point) = F_2(x) = x \sum_i e_i^2 f_i(x)
$$

$$
M_p W_1(point) = F_1(x) = \sum_i e_i^2 \frac{f_i(x)}{2}
$$
Leture 19

# **The Callan-Gross Relation**

- While Bjorken scaling is <sup>a</sup> consequence of partons within the proton, it does not restrict the specific type of partons.
- By making an assumption on the spin of an individual parton, Bjorken's scaling functions,  $F_1(x)$  and  $F_2(x)$  can be related to each other:

Spin-0 partons: 
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{2xF_1(x)}{F_2(x)} = 0
$$

\nSpin- $\frac{1}{2}$  partons: 
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{2xF_1(x)}{F_2(x)} = 1
$$

\ne the partons have spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ . 
$$
2xF_1(x) =
$$

\nion. (Gross is one of the 2004 Nobel Pri

 $n-\frac{1}{2}$  partons:  $\Rightarrow$ <br>e partons have sp<br>(Gross is one of t  $\frac{2xF_1(x)}{F_2(x)}$ <br>in- $\frac{1}{2}$ .  $2xF_1(x)$ <br>he 2004 Nob  $\frac{F(x)}{2(x)} = 1$ <br>  $2xF_1(x) = 4$  Nobel P<sub>1</sub> Experiments indicate the partons have spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ .  $2xF_1(x) = F_2(x)$  is known as the *Callan-Gross relation*. (Gross is one of the 2004 Nobel Prize winners.)<br>  $F_1(x)$ the *Callan-Gross relation*. (Gross is one of the 2004 Nobel Prize winners.)



# **Parton Distribution Functions**

- We have now related Bjorken's scaling functions  $F_{1,2}(x)$  to the probability distribution functions (hereafter to be called PDFs) probability distribution functions (hereafter to be called PDFs)  $_i(x)$ .
- To <sup>a</sup> first approximation, if quarks are truly free within the nucleus for sufficiently high-energy probes, the PDFs will be -functions. For the proton then,

$$
F_2^p(x) = x \left\{ 2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 \delta\left(x - \frac{m_u}{M}\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 \delta\left(x - \frac{m_d}{M}\right) \right\}
$$
  
More generally, we can incorporate the QCD interactions  
etween quarks by generalizing the PDFs:  

$$
F_2^p(x) = x \int \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 y(x) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 d(x)
$$

Windows Change is a series of the contract of  $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ More generally, we can incorporate the QCD interactions between quarks by generalizing the PDFs:

$$
F_2^p(x) = x \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 u(x) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 d(x) \right\}
$$
  
Leture 19

# **Constraints on PDFs**

The precise determination of  $u(x)$  and  $d(x)$  will be left to experiment, but these functions must satisfy certain *sum rules*:

$$
\int_0^1 x u(x) dx = 2 \int_0^1 x d(x) dx
$$

(i.e., total momentum carried by  $u$  quarks is twice that of  $d$ quarks.)

 Experimental surprise: both sides of the above equation are momentum is accounted for. What happened to the other 46%? measured to be 0.36, meaning that only 54% of the proton's



## **Gluons**

- Since gluons are electrically neutral, they do not contribute to  $e$  p scattering, but they are evidently hoarding away some of the proton momentum (and spin too).
- This is one way in which QCD adds complexity to the Constituent Quark Model. Another is the presence of additional quarks via  $q \to q \bar{q}$ . This leads to a long list of PDFs that will be required to describe the proton accurately:

 $u(x)$   $d(x)$   $s(x)$  ...  $\bar{u}(x)$   $\bar{d}$  $(x)$   $\bar{s}(x)$  ...  $g(x)$ 

This is discouraging. Where we once had just one unknown function  $F_2(x)$ , we now have 13!

# **Relating the PDFs**

By distinguishing between *valence* and *sea* quarks, we can clear up most of the clutter. Since the sea quarks are all produced by the same gluon-splitting mechanism,

$$
\bar{u}(x) \simeq \bar{d}(x) \simeq \bar{s}(x) \simeq s(x)
$$

The  $c$ ,  $b$ , and  $t$  quarks are sufficiently heavy as to be ignored.

For  $u(x)$  and  $d(x)$ , we separate the valence and sea contributions, so that

$$
u(x) = u_v(x) + s(x)
$$
  $d(x) = d_v(x) + s(x)$ 

 $u_v(x) + s(x)$   $d(x) = d_v(x) + s(x)$ <br>DFs are related to the proton PDFs by is The neutron PDFs are related to the proton PDFs by isospin (i.e.,  $u_v^n(x) = d_v^p(x)$ ), so we have many different ways to<br>measure the PDFs.  $\frac{d}{dx}$ sur measure the PDFs.

## **Proton and Neutral PDFs I**

Proton structure function (uud)

$$
\frac{F_2^p(x)}{x} = \frac{4}{9} \left[ u^p + \overline{u}^p \right] + \frac{1}{9} \left[ d^p + \overline{d}^p \right] + \frac{1}{9} \left[ s^p + \overline{s}^p \right]
$$

Similarly the neutron structure function (udd)

$$
\frac{F_2^n(x)}{x} = \frac{4}{9} \left[ u^n + \overline{u}^n \right] + \frac{1}{9} \left[ d^n + \overline{d}^n \right] + \frac{1}{9} \left[ s^n + \overline{s}^n \right]
$$

Using isospin invariance

$$
up = dn = u(x)
$$

$$
dp = un = d(x)
$$

$$
sp = sn = s(x)
$$

We get

$$
\frac{F_2^p(x)}{x} = \frac{4}{9} \left[ u + \overline{u} \right] + \frac{1}{9} \left[ d + \overline{d} + s + \overline{s} \right]
$$

$$
\frac{F_2^n(x)}{x} = \frac{4}{9} \left[ d + \overline{d} \right] + \frac{1}{9} \left[ u + \overline{u} + s + \overline{s} \right]
$$

#### **Proton and Neutral PDFs II**

The proton consists of 3 valence quarks  $(u_v, u_v, d_v)$  accompanied by many quark-antiquark pairs

$$
u = u_v + u_s \t d = d_v + d_s
$$
  

$$
u_s = \overline{u}_s = d_s = \overline{d}_s = s_s = \overline{s}_s = s
$$

The quark distributions must give the correct quantum numbers

 $\int [u - \overline{u}] dx = 2$  $\int \left[d - \overline{d}\right] dx = 1$ <br> $\int \left[s - \overline{s}\right] dx = 0$ 

So that

$$
\frac{F_2^p(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{9} [4u_v + d_v] + \frac{4}{3}s
$$

$$
\frac{F_2^n(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{9} [u_v + 4d_v] + \frac{4}{3}s
$$

## **Comparison with experiment <sup>I</sup>**



$$
\frac{F_2^p(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{9} [4u_v + d_v] + \frac{4}{3}s
$$

$$
\frac{F_2^n(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{9} [u_v + 4d_v] + \frac{4}{3}s
$$

The ratio  $\frac{F^n}{F^p}$  tends to<br>1 if s dominates 1 if <sup>s</sup> dominates  $4$  if  $d_v$  dominates if  $u_v$  dominates

## **Comparison with experiment II**



Difference between proton and neutron functions

$$
F_2^p(x) - F_2^n(x) = \frac{x}{3} [u_v - d_v]
$$

# **Scaling Violations**

- At extremely large values of  $q^2$ , it is observed that the PDFs do<br>depend slightly on  $q^2$  ( $F_2(x) \rightarrow F_2(x, q^2)$ ), in conflict with<br>Bjorken's scaling hypothesis. depend slightly on  $q^2$  ( $F_2(x) \rightarrow F_2(x,q^2)$ ), in conflict with depend slightly on  $q^2$  ( $F_2(x) \rightarrow F_2(x, q^2)$ ), in conflict with<br>Bjorken's scaling hypothesis.<br>In particular, as  $|q^2|$  increases, the PDFs decrease at large  $x$  and Bjorken's scaling hypothesis.
- increase at small  $x.$  In other words, the closer we look, the more *soft* partons we see.
- Quantitatively, these scaling violations fall within the realm of perturbative QCD. The DGLAP (Dokshitzer, Gribov, Lipatov, Altarelli, Parisi) equations describe the evolution of the PDFs with  $\it{q}^2$ .





## **Why is this important?**



## **Summary**

- Deep Inelastic Scattering provides the best window with which to look into the interior of <sup>a</sup> proton.
- At high-energies, DIS simplifies considerably, as the electrons begin to scatter elastically off individual partons. This leads to Bjorken scaling.
- The Callan-Gross relation shows that the partons are spin-  $\frac{1}{2}$ particles.
- We can describe the structure functions in terms of quark distribution functions. These PDFs display the richness of QCD, as gluon and sea quark contributions cannot always be ignored.