



Overview of ATLAS

Experiment and Results



Michel Lefebvre, UVic
TRIUMF Workshop on LHC Results
2011/12/14




“The most prominent issue for the LHC is the quest for the origin of the spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism in the electroweak sector of the Standard Model (...) The Higgs search is therefore used as a first benchmark for the detector optimization”

ATLAS Technical Proposal
15 December 1994

Content

- A few words on LHC operation and performance
- Overview of the ATLAS detector
- SM results
- Higgs highlights: See Pierre Savard's talk!
- BSM highlights: See Georges Azuelos' talk!
- A look ahead



The LHC



ATLAS Experiment © 2011 CERN

Superb LHC performance!

Parameter	2010	2011	Nominal
N (10 ¹¹ p/b)	1.2	1.5	1.15
k (n bunches)	368	1380	2808
B. spacing	150	50	25
ϵ_N ($\mu\text{m rad}$)	2.4-4	1.9-2.3	3.75
β^* (m)	3.5	1	0.55
L (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	2 10 ³²	3.6 10 ³³	10 ³⁴
Stored Energy(MJ)	28	110	360


D.Fournier, HCP2011

$$L = \frac{k N_1 N_2 f}{4\pi \sigma_x^* \sigma_y^*}$$

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_N / \gamma \quad \text{transverse emittance}$$

$$\sigma_x^* \sigma_y^* = \beta^* \epsilon \quad \sigma_j^* \text{ transverse beam size at collision point}$$


$$f = 11.25 \text{ kHz} \quad \text{machine revolution frequency}$$





~117 -fold increase in integrated luminosity compared to 2010 !

~20 -fold increase in peak luminosity compared to 2010 !

Increase of peak luminosity over 2011



Pb-Pb operation in 2011



~15 times more data than in 2010!


The ATLAS detector

Muon Detectors

Tile Calorimeter

Liquid Argon Calorimeter

length ~ 46 m
radius ~ 12 m
weight ~ 7000 tonnes



~ 10^8 electronic channels
~ 3000 km of cables


Toroid Magnets

Solenoid Magnet


SCT Tracker

Pixel Detector


TRT Tracker



The ATLAS Inner Detector



The ATLAS Calorimeters



Cu-LAr

$1.5 < |\eta| < 3.2$

LAr hadronic end-cap (HEC)

LAr electromagnetic end-cap (EMEC)


Pb-LAr

$1.375 < |\eta| < 3.2$

LAr electromagnetic barrel

Pb-LAr

$|\eta| < 1.4$



Fe-Scintillator

$|\eta| < 1.7$

Tile barrel

Tile extended barrel

LAr forward (FCal)


Cu/W-LAr

$3.2 < |\eta| < 4.9$

Segmented in pseudo-rapidity η and azimuthal angle ϕ


$$\eta = -\ln \left[\tan \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right]$$

- LAr system: 182,468 cells
- Tile system: 10,364 cells




The ATLAS Muon Detectors

$|\eta| < 2.7$
air-core toroid





Getting down to business

% live ATLAS channels




D.Fournier, HCP2011



ATLAS publications on collision data

88 papers submitted

261 public CONF notes



D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011

Luminosity normalization

- Accurate measurement of the luminosity is very important
 - often one of the dominant systematic uncertainties in SM cross sections
 - affects knowledge of background levels for searches, hence sensitivity

$$L = \frac{k N_1 N_2 f}{2\pi \Sigma_x \Sigma_y} = \frac{\mu_{\text{vis}} k f}{\sigma_{\text{vis}}}$$

characterize beam profile

For a reference run with ATLAS luminosity monitors recorded, perform a van der Meer scan, where beams are separated by steps of known distance

Measure $\mu_{\text{vis}}^{\text{max}}, \Sigma_x, \Sigma_y$ from Gaussian fits


then $\sigma_{\text{vis}} = \mu_{\text{vis}}^{\text{max}} \frac{2\pi \Sigma_x \Sigma_y}{N_1 N_2}$ bunch charge product measured separately

per luminosity detector and algorithm
per BCID

For a given run, obtain the luminosity from

$$L = \frac{\mu_{\text{vis}} k f}{\sigma_{\text{vis}}} \rightarrow \frac{\delta L}{L} = 3.7\%$$

Study of uncertainty yields



Pile-up

■ In-time pile-up

- due to collisions in one bunch crossing (BC)

■ Out-of-time pile-up



- superposition of signal from preceding (and following) BC

■ Relevant parameters

- μ : luminosity-weighted mean number of interaction per BC

$$\mu = \frac{L\sigma_{inel}}{kf}$$



- ▶ dispersion includes bunch-to-bunch variations and drop of L with time
- N_{vx} : number of reconstructed primary vertices per BC
 - ▶ event-by-event proxy for μ



$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ event with $N_{VX} = 20$ ~typical for fall 2011 running with $\beta^* = 1$ m



The track p_T threshold is 0.4 GeV and all tracks are required to have at least 2 Pixel and 7 SCT hits. The vertices shown are reconstructed using tracks with p_T greater than 0.4 GeV.

Ellipses have are 20 X.



e^\pm and photon isolation energy

- e^\pm and photon isolation criteria important to reject background
- photon isolation criteria
 - $E_T < 5$ GeV inside a cone of $R = 0.4$ centred on photon direction
 - does not include core energy of the EM deposit
 - underlying event and in-time pile-up subtracted using an “ambient energy density” evaluated event-by-event



beginning of the bunch train

12 bunches into the train

Excellent understanding of out-of-time pile-up on LAr calorimeter signal

DAQ and Data Quality

- Overall data taking efficiency 93.5%

- without warm-start: 94.7%

Cause	Inefficiency
Dead time	1.0
Warm-start	1.2
DAQ Actions	1.2
Equipment Failure (incl. Human Resp.)	2.8

- Extensive Data Quality procedure and checks

D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011

- only data that are flagged of good quality are fit for analysis
- excellent performance of all sub-detectors


Luminosity weighted fraction of data after data quality cuts used for analysis

Inner Tracking Detectors			Calorimeters				Muon Detectors				Magnets	
Pixel	SCT	TRT	LAr EM	LAr HAD	LAr FWD	Tile	MDT	RPC	CSC	TGC	Solenoid	Toroid
99.8	99.6	99.2	97.5	99.2	99.5	99.2	99.4	98.8	99.4	99.1	99.8	99.3

Luminosity weighted relative detector uptime and good quality data delivery during 2011 stable beams in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV between March 13th and October 30th (in %), after the summer 2011 reprocessing campaign

DQ efficiency for physics analysis $\geq 90\%$

Trigger and DAQ



ATLAS 2011 Trigger, end of run (ATLAS Design)

D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011


2011: from 20 MHz to $\approx 300 \text{ Hz}$ on disk.

Over 10^{14} events through the trigger system!

Michel Lefebvre, UVic

- DAQ readout rate significantly beyond design
 - lower thresholds
- Sophisticated tools in place to predict resource usage throughout system
- Menus and algorithms
 - ~ 200 Level 1 items
 - ~ 500 Level 2 chains
 - ~ 500 Event Filter chains

Submitted to EPJC




TRIUMF Workshop on LHC Results

2011/12/14

Trigger evolution in 2011

Average event filter rate

ATLAS Trigger Operation 2011



Level 1			High Level Trigger						
Muon	Calo	CTP	electron	photon	muon	tau	jet	b-jet	missing E_T
100	100	100	100	100	100	99.5	97.3	99.5	100

Luminosity weighted relative trigger quality delivery during 2011 stable beams in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV between March 13th and June 29th (in %).

2011 proton trigger menu



End of run $L = 3.3 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$

	Offline Selection	Trigger Selection		L1 Rate (kHz) at 3e33	EF Rate (Hz) at 3e33
		L1	EF		
Single leptons	Single muon > 20GeV	11 GeV	18 GeV	8	100
	Single electron > 25GeV	16 GeV	22 GeV	9	55
Two leptons	2 muons > 17, 12GeV	11GeV	15,10GeV	8	4
	2 electrons, each > 15GeV	2x10GeV	2x12GeV	2	1.3
	2 taus > 45, 30GeV	15,11GeV	29,20GeV	7.5	15
Two photons	2 photons, each > 25GeV	2x12GeV	20GeV	3.5	5
Single jet plus MET	Jet pT > 130 GeV & MET > 140 GeV	50 GeV & 35 GeV	75GeV & 55GeV	0.8	18
MET	MET > 170 GeV	50 GeV	70GeV	0.6	5
Multi-jets	5 jets, each pT > 55 GeV	5x10GeV	5x30GeV	0.2	9
TOTAL				<75	~400 (mean)

D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011

High Level Trigger performance


Single electron trigger efficiency at L1, L2 and EF levels, measured with $Z \rightarrow ee$ events using the tag-and-probe method




Single jet trigger efficiency at EF level. Pile-up noise suppression significantly improves the quality of the turn-on curves.

HLT performance and pile-up

- Most trigger cross sections have limited dependence on pile-up
 - Level 2 CPU time linear with pile-up for key algorithms
 - Multi-jet rates and missing E_T are especially sensitive to pile-up
 - Many handles to control rates




D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011



D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011

Standard Model in ATLAS

- Re-discovery of the SM
 - Validating SM predictions at a higher energy
 - ▶ precise measurement of SM parameters
 - ATLAS detector commissioning and calibration
- Needed for searches for new physics
 - understanding backgrounds
 - look for processes with cross sections > 10 orders of magnitude smaller than total cross section!





Standard Model in ATLAS

- ATLAS SM, Top, B working groups
 - Soft QCD
 - ▶ multiplicities, correlations, underlying event, inelastic cross section, rapidity gap cross sections, ...
 - Jet Physics
 - ▶ cross sections, shape, mass, ...
 - W/Z Physics
 - ▶ cross sections, p_T distributions, V+jet(s), decays, ...
 - Direct Photons
 - ▶ isolated prompt photon and di-photon cross section
 - Electroweak
 - ▶ WW, WZ, ZZ, $W\gamma$, $Z\gamma$ cross sections
 - Top
 - ▶ cross sections, mass, single top, properties
 - B
 - ▶ Onia, b, $B \rightarrow J/\psi$, rare b-decays, hadronic B decays





Soft QCD: charged particle multiplicities

- Results at 0.9, 2.36 and 7 TeV





Hadronic jets

- Hadronic jet production is the dominant high p_T process at the LHC
 - jet energy scale uncertainty is the main source of uncertainty for many physics measurements
 - enormous progress made in 2011




Jet physics




ATLAS-CONF-2011-047
Publication in preparation

- Inclusive jet double-differential cross section vs p_T for various rapidity regions
 - probe over 12 orders of magnitude in cross section!
 - systematic uncertainty dominated by jet energy scale
 - overall good agreement with NLO pQCD (+ soft QCD corrections)
 - ▶ except very forward region: work in progress to constrain PDFs

Jet physics




ATLAS-CONF-2011-047
Publication in preparation





- Dijet double-differential cross section vs dijet mass for various max rapidity of two leading jets
 - overall good agreement with NLO pQCD (+ soft QCD corrections)

Jet physics: multijets




accepted in EPJC



LO MC normalized to the measured inclusive dijet cross section. The systematic error on the measurement does not include the luminosity uncertainty

Jet physics: multijets




- three-to-two jet ratio
- test of NLO pQCD
 - NLOJet++ 4.1.2
 - parton-to-particle correction factor obtained using PYTHIA and HERWIG++
 - MSTW 2008 NLO
- also aim at extracting α_s
 - note in preparation (EB)
 - figure here includes theoretical error from varying α_s by ± 0.002



Jet mass and substructure


ATLAS-CONF-2011-073

- Jet mass encodes information about both parton shower and a possible origin in a heavy particle decay
- Fat jets are split and soft radiation is filtered out
 - filtering reduces differences in generator predictions and removes impact of pileup!




Low mass Drell-Yan cross section

- DY process can help improve our understanding of the proton structure
 - PDF uncertainty one of the dominant uncertainties for SM measurements
 - ▶ DY sensitive to sea-quark PDF
 - LHC probes low x and high Q^2 : at 7 TeV probing as low as 10^{-4} in x



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dm_{ll}} \propto m_{ll}^{-3}$$


in preparation



Prompt photons



- Good agreement with pQCD over 4 orders of magnitude in cross section
 - sensitive to the gluon content of the proton
- Also important test of photon detection
 - converted and non-converted photons
 - transverse isolation and effect of
 - ▶ underlying event
 - ▶ pile-up
 - calorimeter shower shape

Phys. Lett. B706 (2011), 150-167



W/Z physics



- W/Z reconstruction essential for most analyses
 - either as signature for signal or background
 - large samples available
 - ▶ evaluate and calibrate detector performance (energy scale, ETMiss, ...)
 - ▶ towards precision EW measurements



W/Z physics

■ Inclusive production cross section (35 pb^{-1})

Submitted to PRD





- measurements cover a wide range of kinematic phase space
 - ▶ stringent test of SM predictions
- precision reaches a few percent!

W/Z physics



- Production cross section: W^+ vs W^- and W^\pm vs Z
 - luminosity uncertainty is the dominant error
 - universality of the PDFs and pQCD at high orders still work at LHC!
 - ▶ validity of QCD evolution equations for the PDFs
 - ▶ constraint on the partonic content of the proton

Submitted to PRD




W/Z + jet(s)

- Very important measurement at the start of LHC
 - exclusive final states W/Z + n-jets
 - b-jet production rate
- Ratio of W+1jet / Z+1jet
 - cancellations of many uncertainties
 - model-independent sensitivity to new physics couplings to leptons and jets
 - **Submitted to PLB**
 - good agreement with SM so far
- Important background to many searches
 - understanding SM W/Z + n-jets essential
- Can also consider event shape variables instead of (or in addition to) jet multiplicity



Top physics




- Top quark
 - late 2011, production at ~ 1 Hz !!
 - mostly produced in top-antitop pairs
 - $BR(t \rightarrow Wb) \sim 100\%$
- Decays before hadronizing
 - study an unbound quark!
- Most massive fundamental particle (so far)
 - Yukawa coupling close to 1
 - large mass affects EW observables through loop corrections
 - top plays a special role in many BSM theories
- Signature: multiple leptons, jets, and missing E_T
 - dominant background for non-all-hadronic channels
 - ▶ W/Z + jets
 - ▶ QCD jets



- Event selection
 - high p_T leptons
 - at least 3 jets
 - cut on missing E_T and transverse mass

Top-antiTop pair production cross section

- One of the benchmark measurements of ATLAS



http://www-d0.fnal.gov/Run2Physics/top/top_public_web_pages/top_feynman_diagrams.html

- 3 analyses to produce combined result

- lepton+jets without b-tagging

ATLAS-CONF-2011-121

- ▶ simultaneous fit in 6 channels

- (3, 4, ≥ 5 jets) X (e, μ)

ATLAS-CONF-2011-100

- dilepton without b-tagging and with a least 1 b-tagged jet

- ▶ ee, e μ , $\mu\mu$

ATLAS-CONF-2011-119

- μ + tau(h) + jets

- ▶ using boosted decision tree to identify taus

ATLAS-CONF-2011-140

- All hadronic

- 1.02 fb⁻¹: 167 ± 18 (stat) ± 78 (syst) ± 6 (lumi) pb

- ttbar + photon



ATLAS-CONF-2011-153

- 1.04 fb⁻¹: $\sigma\text{BR} = 2.0 \pm 0.5$ (stat) ± 0.7 (syst) ± 0.08 (lumi) pb

Top-antiTop pair production cross section


- Statistical Combination: **reaching theoretical precision!**
 - single lepton and dilepton measurements
 - good agreement with SM predictions
 - ▶ 176 ± 5 (stat) $\pm 13/10$ (syst) ± 7 (lumi) pb

ATLAS-CONF-2011-108



Single top production

- Top quark can be produced singly through the EW interaction
 - production rate related to V_{tb} and sensitive to new physics involving top quarks: main diagrams:



- **t-channel** **ATLAS-CONF-2011-101**

- cut-based and neural network analysis, expect 64.6 pb
 - ▶ result: 90 ± 9 (stat) $\pm 31/20$ (syst) pb \rightarrow within ~ 1.1 sigma of SM

- **Wt-channel** **ATLAS-CONF-2011-104**


- cut-based analysis in dilepton channel, expect 15.7 pb
 - ▶ result: < 39.1 pb (expected limit 40.6 pb) \rightarrow limit $\sim 2.5 \times \sigma_{SM}$

- **s-channel** **ATLAS-CONF-2011-118**

- cut-based analysis, expect 4.6 pb
 - ▶ result: < 26.5 pb \rightarrow limit $\sim 5 \times \sigma_{SM}$

Single top: t-channel

- Selection cuts include ATLAS-CONF-2011-101
 - $|\eta(\text{l-Jet})| > 2.0$ $H_T > 210 \text{ GeV}$ (scalar sum of p_T of l, nu, j_1, j_2)
 - $\Delta\eta(\text{bjets-ujet1}) > 1.0$ $150 < M_{\text{Inub}} < 190 \text{ GeV}$
- Result: $90 \pm 9 \text{ (stat)} \pm 31/20 \text{ (syst) pb}$



The t-channel contribution is normalized to the measured combined cut-based cross section

Top properties

- Potential for high precision top properties measurements
 - at $L = 3.5 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$, events with top(s) are produced at $\sim 1 \text{ Hz}$
 - Exciting program, including
 - ▶ top mass: $175.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ (stat)} \pm 2.7 \text{ (syst)}$ ATLAS-CONF-2011-120
 - ▶ top charge ATLAS-CONF-2011-141
 - ▶ charge asymmetry in top-antitop pair production ATLAS-CONF-2011-106
 - ▶ W helicity in top decays ATLAS-CONF-2011-122
 - ▶ top-antitop spin correlation ATLAS-CONF-2011-117
 - ▶ rare top decays and FCNC
 - ▶ top-antitop plus photon(s) ATLAS-CONF-2011-153
 - ▶ reconstruction of boosted tops
 - Many exciting searches
 - top-antitop resonances ATLAS-CONF-2011-123
 - top-antitop + missing transverse energy ATLAS-CONF-2011-087
 - 4 tops, etc. ATLAS-CONF-2011-070
- Submitted to PRL**


Top physics: W polarisation

■ W helicities

- one charged lepton, $E_{T\text{miss}}$, at least 4 jets (at least one is b-tagged)
- helicity fractions F_0 , F_L , F_R , where F_0 is related to the top mass!

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{d \cos \theta^*} = \frac{3}{8} (1 + \cos \theta^*)^2 F_R + \frac{3}{8} (1 - \cos \theta^*)^2 F_L + \frac{3}{4} (1 - \cos^2 \theta^*) F_0$$

ATLAS-CONF-2011-122



SM NNLO:

$$F_0 = 0.687 \pm 0.005$$

$$F_L = 0.311 \pm 0.005$$

$$F_R = 0.0017 \pm 0.0001$$

Results:


$$F_0 = 0.57 \pm 0.07 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.09 \text{ (syst.)}$$

$$F_L = 0.35 \pm 0.04 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.04 \text{ (syst.)}$$



$$F_R = 0.09 \pm 0.04 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.08 \text{ (syst.)}$$

Agrees with SM V-A coupling!
Limits are set on anomalous Wtb vertex coupling



Summary of a few SM production cross section measurements



Standard Model Higgs




18 Nov 2011 for HCP2011





ATLAS SM Higgs Result

ATLAS-CONF-2011-163



SM Higgs combined channel constraints

ATLAS-CONF-2011-163



Excluded at 95% CL: $112.7 < M_H < 115.5$ GeV
 $131 < M_H < 237$ GeV
 $251 < M_H < 453$ GeV

Expected exclusion if no signal: $124.6 < M_H < 520$ GeV

Excluded at 99% CL: $133 < M_H < 230$ GeV
 $260 < M_H < 437$ GeV

Max deviation from background-only expectation observed for $M_H \sim 126$ GeV.

Local $p_0 = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$

local significance of excess = 3.6σ
 $\sim 2.8\sigma$ $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, 2.1σ $H \rightarrow 4l$, 1.4σ $H \rightarrow l\nu l\nu$
 expected from SM Higgs: 2.4σ

Global

$p_0 = 0.6\%$ \rightarrow **2.5σ LEE over 110-146 GeV**
 $p_0 = 1.4\%$ \rightarrow **2.2σ LEE over 110-600 GeV**


CAP June 1996

H → γγ


■ BEST CHANNEL FOR $80 \text{ GeV} < M_H < 120 \text{ GeV}$

PRESENT DIRECT LIMIT FOR SM H : $M_H > 65.2$
 EXPECT LEP (192 GeV) : $M_H > 95 \text{ GeV}$ 95%

■ SIGNAL



■ BACKGROUND
 IRREDUCIBLE : QCD PRODUCTION




REDUCIBLE : QCD JETS } WITH FAKE γ
 $Z \rightarrow e e$

■ CHALLENGING CHANNEL

H → WW → lν jj Z Z → ll jj

■ INTERESTING BECAUSE 150X BRANCHING RATIO OF γγ CHANNEL

■ SIGNAL




■ BACKGROUND

$T \bar{T}$, W + JETS


■ TO CONTROL BACKGROUND

- NEED A GOOD $\sigma_{M_{jj}}$ FOR M_W, M_Z RECONSTRUCTION
 → CALORIMETER GRANULARITY
 → PILEUP CONTROL
- FORWARD JET TAGGING $2 < |\eta| < 5$
- CENTRAL JET VETO

→ TALK P. SAVARD





*Only a selection of the available results leading to mass limits shown



*Only a selection of the available results leading to mass limits shown

ATLAS 2011/2012 technical stop




- Fix some calorimeter readout problems
- Install staged EE muon chambers on one side (C), remaining ones in the next shutdown
- Yearly maintenance of the infrastructure

Opening
2 shifts period

EE installation : based on normal hours with some overtime when necessary

Closing
2 shifts period

Shaft A closed
+ Magnets ON



D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011

LHC in 2012

- First discussion at LHC Machine Committee (LMC) on 26 Nov
- ... to be followed by an LHC meeting in Evian 12-14 December
- ... and finalized in Chamonix in February 2012

■ Machine parameters

- which energy? 3.5 TeV, 4 TeV per beam?
- which bunch spacing? 50 ns, 25 ns?
- which β^* at ATLAS and CMS? 0.7 m, 1 m?

■ Considerations for the experiments

- pile-up
- background limitations?
- physics priorities?
 - ▶ required integrated luminosity
 - ▶ impact of energy choice
 - ▶ luminosity calibration
 - ▶ ...


Assumptions

E=4TeV: $\beta^* = 0.7\text{m}$: 148 days of physics: no intensity limit for 25ns


Bunch Spacing	Peak Luminosity	Integrated Luminosity (fb ⁻¹)	Pile Up	N max
50ns	5.80E+33	~16	~27	1.55E+11
25ns	3.80E+33	~10	~9	1.15E+11

S. Meyers, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011
Also, Bertolucci, HCP2011


Higgs expected level of significance



Higgs exclusion, evidence, discovery



LHC schedule assumptions



ILC, HE-LHC, ... ?

D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011
Also, Bertolucci, HCP2011

Phase-0 (installation 2013-14)

ATLAS

Major Improvements to Physics Capabilities

- New insertable pixel b-layer (IBL) (drives shutdown schedule)
- Finish the installation of the EE muon chambers staged in 2003 + additional chambers in the feet (new electronics) and elevators region
- New small Be pipe

Consolidation and maintenance to preserve present performance

- New Aluminum beam pipes to prevent activation problem and reduce BG
- New pixel services (nSQP) (→ pending decision by mid 2012)
- New evaporative cooling plant for Pixel and SCT + IBL CO₂ cooling plant
- Replace all calorimeter Low Voltage Power Supplies
- Exchange all broken TGCs where possible
- Consolidate part of the LUCID system
- Upgrade the magnets cryogenics with a new spare main compressor and decouple toroid and solenoid cryogenics
- Add specific neutron shielding (behind end-cap toroid, USA15)
- Revisit the entire electricity supply network (UPS,...)
- Repairs and maintenance work in general !!!
- Preparations for Phase I upgrade (moveable b-pipe, AFP prototypes,...)
- MBTS removal and possible replacement

D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011

Phase-I (installation in or before 2018) ATLAS

Major Projects

1. New muon small wheels with more trigger granularity and trigger track vector information
2. Fast track processor (FTK) using SCT and pixel hits (input to LVL2) expected installation before 2018
3. Higher-granularity calorimeter LVL1 trigger and associated front-end electronic
4. New forward physics detection station at 220 m for new diffractive physics (full 3D edgeless and timing detectors, target 2017)
5. Topological trigger processors combining LVL1 information from different regions of interest (improvements starting well before 2018)

Supporting Projects

1. Adapt central LVL1 trigger electronics to new needs
2. New Tiles crack-gap scintillators and some new trigger electronics
3. Adapt if proven necessary HLT (in particular network) to the new needs/ conditions

D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011

Phase-II (installation 2022-23)

ATLAS

1. New Inner Detector (strips and pixels)
Very substantial progress in many R&D areas
2. New LAr front-end and back-end electronics
3. New Tiles front-end and back-end electronics
4. TDAQ upgrade
5. TAS and shielding upgrade
6. Various infrastructure upgrades
7. Common activities (installation, safety, ...)

Under study:

1. LAr new FCAL
2. LAr HEC cold electronics consolidation
3. Muon Barrel and Large Wheel system upgrade
4. L1 track trigger
5. LUCID upgrade

D. Strom, LHCC, 7 Dec 2011

Conclusion

- The LHC has performed superbly. Thank you LHC!!
- ATLAS has recorded high quality data
 - hard work by ATLAS members to ensure high data quality and high data taking efficiency
- Trigger, data reconstruction, computing and data analysis are meeting the challenge of increase pile-up
- Physics results from the 2011 data set are very promising
 - solid understanding of SM signatures essential to searches for new physics
 - ▶ in some cases, SM measurements reach theoretical prediction precision
 - ▶ still many analyses to update with full data set
 - the Higgs does not have many places to hide anymore...
 - large array of searches for new physics
 - ▶ **BSM: if it is within the LHC's reach, ATLAS will see it!**
- ATLAS is preparing for 2012 and beyond



**Entering a very exciting period...
with excellent prospects!**

- **Acknowledgements (Canada): NSERC, CFI, NRC**

Extra slides

W/Z physics

- Production cross section vs W/Z rapidity and p_T
 - constraints on PDF and input to QCD calculations
 - ▶ NLO parton emissions, resummation models, NNLO cross section




Comparison with NNLO for various PDF sets
 $66 < M_{ll} < 116 \text{ GeV}$ and $p_T(l) > 20 \text{ GeV}$

Top-antiTop pair production cross section

- Leptons + jets without b-tagging
 - results of combined fit with data: 179 ± 10 (stat+syst) ± 7 (lumi) pb


ATLAS-CONF-2011-121



Top-antiTop pair production cross section

■ Dileptons without b-tagging


- 177 ± 6 (stat) $\pm 17/14$ (syst) ± 8 (lumi) pb



ATLAS-CONF-2011-100

■ Dileptons with ≥ 1 b-tagged jet

- 183 ± 6 (stat) $\pm 18/14$ (syst) $\pm 8/7$ (lumi) pb




“Other EW”: diboson and single top

without the $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 40$ GeV cut


Top-antiTop pair production cross section

- $\mu + \text{tau}(h) + \text{jets}$
 - using boosted decision tree to identify taus




ATLAS SM Higgs searches

LPCC combination Oct 2011




ATLAS SM Higgs searches

21 August 2011 for LP11




ATLAS SM Higgs searches


24 July 2011 for EPS




ATLAS-CONF-2011-112





21 August 2011 for LP11





ATLAS-CONF-2011-135




Standard Model Higgs



Standard Model Higgs



HE-LHC – LHC modifications



High Energy-LHC (HE-LHC)

CERN working group since April 2010

EuCARD AccNet workshop HE-LHC'10,

14-16 October 2010, Proc. CERN-2011-003

key topics

beam energy 16.5 TeV; 20-T magnets

cryogenics: synchrotron-radiation heat radiation damping & emittance control

vacuum system: synchrotron radiation

new injector: energy > 1 TeV


parameters

	LHC	HE-LHC
beam energy [TeV]	7	16.5
dipole field [T]	8.33	20
dipole coil aperture [mm]	56	40
#bunches	2808	1404
IP beta function [m]	0.55	1 (x), 0.43 (y)
number of IPs	3	2
beam current [A]	0.584	0.328
SR power per ring [kW]	3.6	65.7
arc SR heat load dW/ds [W/m/ap]	0.21	2.8
peak luminosity [$10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$]	1.0	2.0
events per crossing	19	76

Michel Lefebvre, UVic



TRIUMF Workshop on LHC Results

	Turns	%
Nb-Ti	40	28%
Nb ₃ Sn	58	41%
HTS	45	31%



E. Todesco

hybrid magnet



2011/12/14

HE-LHC – main issues and R&D

- **high-field 20-T dipole** magnets based on Nb_3Sn , Nb_3Al , and HTS
- **high-gradient quadrupole magnets** for arc and IR
 - **fast cycling SC magnets** for 1-TeV injector
- **emittance control** in regime of strong SR damping and IBS
- cryogenic handling of **SR heat load** (first analysis; looks manageable)
 - dynamic **vacuum**