


The Search for the Higgs Boson at the Large Hadron Collider



Michel Lefebvre




**University
of Victoria**

- Matter and forces
- The LHC and ATLAS
- pp collisions
- Search for the Higgs
- More searches
- What's next

UVic Colloquium
6 Feb 2013

Scattering experiment

We see through the scatter of “probe waves”




Matter waves:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{particle} \\ \text{aspect} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} p = \frac{h}{\lambda} \\ E = h\nu \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} p \\ E \end{array}} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{wave} \\ \text{aspect} \end{array}$$

The matter wave can resolve features about the size of its wavelength, given sufficient luminosity


Inside the atom



Rutherford's
scattering
experiments

$$K_{\alpha} = 7.7 \text{ MeV}$$
$$\lambda = 5.2 \text{ fm}$$

Colliding particles




<http://www.particleadventure.org/collision.html>

Particles and antiparticles, perhaps new and unknown ones, can be produced from the pure energy available after the collision

$$E = mc^2$$

New particles signal new physical laws!

Matter and Forces



the **proton**: three bound quarks

Three generations of matter (fermions)

	I	II	III	
mass →	2.4 MeV/c ²	1.27 GeV/c ²	171.2 GeV/c ²	0
charge →	2/3	2/3	2/3	0
spin →	1/2	1/2	1/2	1
name →	u up	c charm	t top	γ photon
Quarks	4.8 MeV/c ²	104 MeV/c ²	4.2 GeV/c ²	0
	-1/3	-1/3	-1/3	0
	1/2	1/2	1/2	1
	d down	s strange	b bottom	g gluon
Leptons	<2.2 eV/c ²	<0.17 MeV/c ²	<15.5 MeV/c ²	91.2 GeV/c ²
	0	0	0	0
	1/2	1/2	1/2	1
	ν_e electron neutrino	ν_μ muon neutrino	ν_τ tau neutrino	Z⁰ Z boson
	0.511 MeV/c ²	105.7 MeV/c ²	1.777 GeV/c ²	80.4 GeV/c ²
	-1	-1	-1	±1
	1/2	1/2	1/2	1
	e electron	μ muon	τ tau	W[±] W boson

**Forces:
mediated
by spin 1
bosons**


**Matter:
spin 1/2
fermions**

**and
Antimatter**

Gauge bosons

Weak interaction and parity

$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\mu$$



The weak interaction violates parity!

This is very odd, and crucial to the understanding of the mystery of the origin of mass

Global symmetries

global symmetry \Rightarrow conservation law

homogeneity of space \Rightarrow momentum

homogeneity of time \Rightarrow energy

isotropy of space \Rightarrow angular momentum

isotropy of some abstract space \Rightarrow some “charge”

invariance under \Rightarrow conservation of electric charge

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\epsilon} \psi(x)$$

Dirac spinor

global phase transformation

Local symmetries

local symmetry = gauge symmetry

Gauge principle:

the laws of nature are required to be invariant under a local symmetry

All known fundamental interactions are formulated as gauge theories!

invariance under

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\epsilon(x)} \psi(x)$$

Dirac spinor \rightarrow arbitrary local phase transformation

\Rightarrow

- require a **vector boson** (photon)
- **predicts the electron-photon coupling!**

Gauge invariance

- We wish to generate the EM, weak, and strong forces from a gauge invariance of the type

$$U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C \quad \text{Standard Model gauge}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}$$

- But **ALL** masses violate this assumption!

gauge boson
mass terms

$$M Z^\mu Z_\mu$$

fermion mass terms
because of $SU(2)_L$

$$m \bar{\psi} \psi = m (\bar{\psi}_L \psi_R + \bar{\psi}_R \psi_L)$$

**We need a gauge
invariant mechanism
to generate mass**

Higgs mechanism!

R. Brout, F. Englert, P. Higgs, G.S.
Guralnik, C.R. Hagen, and T.W.B. Kibble

Higgs mechanism



- The Higgs mechanism postulates the existence of a Higgs field ϕ

- with its potential, and couplings to fermions

$$V(\phi) = -\mu^2 \phi^\dagger \phi + \lambda (\phi^\dagger \phi)^2 \quad \lambda > 0$$

- The equilibrium state is $\phi \neq 0$ and not unique!

- nature make a choice, partially **hiding the gauge invariance**
- gauge bosons W^+, W^-, Z **acquire mass**
- all fermions **acquire mass**
- **prediction of one neutral scalar Higgs boson particle:**



F. Englert and P. Higgs at CERN July 4th 2012


Fundamental Masses

γ, g
massless

Depicted with mass proportional to volume of sphere!

**Masses from interaction
with the Higgs field!**

ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ
have small masses



The Standard Model





Photo: Josh Thompson


The Standard Model

Three generations of matter (fermions)

	I	II	III	
mass →	2.4 MeV/c ²	1.27 GeV/c ²	171.2 GeV/c ²	0
charge →	2/3	2/3	2/3	0
spin →	1/2	1/2	1/2	1
name →	u up	c charm	t top	γ photon
	4.8 MeV/c ²	104 MeV/c ²	4.2 GeV/c ²	0
	-1/3	-1/3	-1/3	0
	1/2	1/2	1/2	1
Quarks	d down	s strange	b bottom	g gluon
	<2.2 eV/c ²	<0.17 MeV/c ²	<15.5 MeV/c ²	91.2 GeV/c ²
	0	0	0	0
	1/2	1/2	1/2	1
	ν_e electron neutrino	ν_μ muon neutrino	ν_τ tau neutrino	Z⁰ Z boson
	0.511 MeV/c ²	105.7 MeV/c ²	1.777 GeV/c ²	80.4 GeV/c ²
	-1	-1	-1	±1
	1/2	1/2	1/2	1
Leptons	e electron	μ muon	τ tau	W[±] W boson

Gauge bosons

Higgs boson: the missing piece



- The SM is a very successful theory
 - relativistic quantum fields
- All experimental measurements at the subatomic level agree with the SM to date!
- But it does not predict the mass of the Higgs boson!

Higgs boson mass??

A PHENOMENOLOGICAL PROFILE OF THE HIGGS BOSON

John ELLIS, Mary K. GAILLARD ^{*} and D.V. NANOPOULOS ^{**}

CERN, Geneva

Nucl. Phys. B 106, 292 (1976).


Received 7 November 1975

We should perhaps finish with an apology and a caution. We apologize to experimentalists for having no idea what is the mass of the Higgs boson, unlike the case with charm [3,4] and for not being sure of its couplings to other particles, except that they are probably all very small. For these reasons we do not want to encourage big experimental searches for the Higgs boson, but we do feel that people performing experiments vulnerable to the Higgs boson should know how it may turn up.

Many thanks to J.-F. Arguin (UdeM) for pointing out this anecdote!

Precision measurements

- Precise Standard Model measurements put constraints on the Higgs mass
 - Higgs couples to mass... look at heavy particles!



Higgs mass constraints


$$114 < M_H < 161 \text{ GeV } 95\% \text{CL}$$

↑
Direct
searches

↑
Indirect:
precision
measurements.
Assumes SM




CERN and the LHC




7 to 14 TeV pp collisions
also Pb-Pb and p-Pb

CERN PhotoLab CERN-MI-0807031


The ATLAS detector at the LHC



The ATLAS Experiment at CERN, <http://atlas.ch>




a person!




LHC magnets operate at 1.9 K
1232 dipoles (8.4 T, 34 t)
392 quadrupoles

Proton-proton collisions



M. Dobbs and J.B. Hansen,
Comp. Phys. Comm. 134
(2001) 41-46.

Proton-proton collisions



<http://www.atlas.ch/multimedia/#4-muon-event>



Canada and the LHC




Canada made important contributions to the LHC machine: warm insertions and injector upgrades, with TRIUMF engineering





48 + 4 warm twin-aperture quadrupoles for cleaning insertions

The ATLAS detector




Particle identification in ATLAS




ATLAS calorimetry

- LAr system: 182,468 cells
- Tile system: 10,364 cells



Segmented in depth and in pseudorapidity η and azimuthal angle ϕ

$$\eta = -\ln \left[\tan \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right]$$




**e/ γ /jet trigger,
identification,
E measurement**

EM: $\frac{\sigma}{E} = \frac{10\%}{\sqrt{E[\text{GeV}]}} \oplus 0.7\%$


Had: $\frac{\sigma}{E} = \frac{50\%}{\sqrt{E[\text{GeV}]}} \oplus 3\%$

ATLAS components and Canada


ATLAS lab at UVic during construction



Feedthrough tests at CERN




ATLAS components and Canada



The ATLAS Experiment at CERN, <http://atlas.ch>

A view inside the liquid-argon calorimeter endcap. The circular inner bore of the EMEC, front and rear HEC wheels.



Encap calorimeter module under construction at TRIUMF




ATLAS and Canada



Alberta
Carleton
McGill
Montréal
SFU
Toronto
TRIUMF
UBC
Victoria
York


- **ATLAS celebrated its 20th anniversary on 1 Oct 2012**
- Over 150 Canadian scientists participate in the ATLAS experiment
- ATLAS Canada Collaboration
 - Founded in 1992 ML, UVic
 - Spokesperson (07-) Rob McPherson, UVic/IPP
 - Deputy Richard Teuscher, UofT
 - Physics Coordination Bernd Stelzer, SFU
 - Computing Coordination Reda Tafirot, TRIUMF
- Contributions to the ATLAS detector construction
 - Calorimetry, cryogenics, electronics, trigger, ...
- Contributions to the LHC construction (TRIUMF)
- TRIUMF, Canada's nuclear and particle physics laboratory located in Vancouver
 - <http://www.triumf.ca/>

ATLAS cavern



The ATLAS Experiment at CERN, <http://atlas.ch>


Barrel Toroids all installed (Nov 2005)



The ATLAS Experiment at CERN, <http://atlas.ch>

Moving the calorimeters in place

Feb 2007



May 2008, side A



Closing of LHC beam pipe (16 June 2008)



The ATLAS Experiment at CERN, <http://atlas.ch>

Luminosity and cross section

event
production
rate in Hz

$$R = L\sigma$$

instantaneous
luminosity in
 $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

cross section for the
relevant process, in nb, pb, fb

$$1 \text{ pb} = 10^{-36} \text{ cm}^2$$



number of
events
produced

$$N = \left(\int L dt \right) \sigma$$


integrated
luminosity in fb^{-1}

- if you want to make a measurement of a **rare process (low cross section)** with any significance, you need a **large integrated luminosity**. If you want to achieve this in a **reasonable time**, you need a **large luminosity**!

LHC luminosity, pp collisions

Superb LHC performance!!


Peak luminosity: $7.73 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$



A challenge for the experiments to keep up!

LHC integrated luminosity, pp

Superb LHC performance!!



$$\int L dt$$

23.3 fb $^{-1}$ 8 TeV

5.6 fb $^{-1}$ 7 TeV

48.9 pb $^{-1}$ 7 TeV

Cross sections and event rates

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}} \sim 115 \text{ mb} \sim (3.4 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m})^2$$


@ $7 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

process	$\sigma(\text{nb})$	R(Hz)
inelastic	$\sim 7.5 \times 10^7$	0.53×10^9
Z	~ 35	250
ttbar	~ 0.24	1.7
$H_{(125\text{GeV})}$	~ 0.022	0.15

$\sim 0.5 \text{ M}$ in 2012!

Higgs production is nearly **10 orders of magnitude** less than the total cross section!



proton - (anti)proton cross sections



Experimental challenge: Pile-up

- In-time pile-up
 - due to multiple collisions per bunch crossing
 - **in 2012, ~20 events per bunch crossing!!**
- Out-of-time pile-up
 - superposition of signal from preceding (and following) bunch crossing


$Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ event with 25 vertices $\sim 1\text{cm}$




Trigger system

- Three-level trigger system
 - designed to reduce the data rate from 40 MHz to ~200 Hz
- Menu now optimized for $7 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
 - improvement of object selections and trigger algorithms
- Coping well in 2012!


Main triggers at $7 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$				
Offline (GeV)	L1 thr (GeV)	L1 rate (kHz)	EF thr (GeV)	EF rate (Hz) @ 5×10^{33}
$e > 25$	18	17	24	70
$\mu > 25$	15	8	24	45
dilepton	10-15	15	8-18	21
2γ 25-40	10-16	12	20-35	17
2τ 30-45	11-15	12	20-29	12
Jet > 360	75	2	2	5
MET 120	40	2	80	17



SM production cross sections







the Higgs boson: the missing piece




a spin 0 boson!

SM Higgs production



Predicted cross sections for

$M_H = 125 \text{ GeV @ } 8 \text{ TeV} : \mathbf{22.3 \text{ pb}}$



Higgs production

Proton-proton Collision in the ATLAS Experiment

Production of the Higgs particle decaying to two Photons


 ATLAS
EXPERIMENT
<http://atlas.ch>



<http://www.atlas.ch/multimedia/#a-higgs-particle-decaying-2-photons>

Standard Model Higgs decays

Many possible decay channels of the Higgs boson



Most important Higgs decays


Here the most important decays for searches, with fractions for $M_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$

$\sim 58\%$ $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$

$\sim 0.5\%$ $H \rightarrow W W^{(*)} \rightarrow e\nu \mu\nu$

$\sim 6.3\%$ $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$

$\sim 0.23\%$ $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



$\sim 0.02\%$ $H \rightarrow Z Z^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$ ^{e or μ pairs}

The cleanest channels are also the rarest...


CAP Congress June 1996

H → γγ


■ BEST CHANNEL FOR $80 \text{ GeV} < M_H < 120 \text{ GeV}$

PRESENT DIRECT LIMIT FOR SM H : $M_H > 65.2$
 EXPECT LEP (192 GeV) : $M_H > 95 \text{ GeV}$ 95%

■ SIGNAL



■ BACKGROUND
 IRREDUCIBLE : QCD PRODUCTION




REDUCIBLE : QCD JETS } WITH FAKE γ
 $Z \rightarrow ee$

■ CHALLENGING CHANNEL

H → WW → lν jj ZZ → ll jj

■ INTERESTING BECAUSE 150X BRANCHING-RATIO OF γγ CHANNEL

■ SIGNAL



■ BACKGROUND

$T\bar{T}$, W + JETS

■ TO CONTROL BACKGROUND

- NEED A GOOD $\sigma_{M_{jj}}$ FOR M_W, M_Z RECONSTRUCTION
 → CALORIMETER GRANULARITY
 → PILEUP CONTROL
- FORWARD JET TAGGING $2 < |\eta| < 5$
- CENTRAL JET VETO


$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

- Look for two isolated high energy photons


- need good photon identification

- Large background

- irreducible SM 2-photon production
- fake photons (neutral pions)
 - use shower shape in LAr calorimeter segmented readout



π^0 - γ Rejection



- Reconstruct the 2-photon invariant mass

- look for a signal mass bump over a large background

$$M_{\gamma\gamma}^2 = 2E_1 E_2 (1 - \cos \alpha)$$

need good photon
energy reconstruction


need good
photon direction

$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (July 2012)

Excess of events!

$M \sim 126.5$ GeV
 4.5σ

$\sim 1 / 300000$
chance of
being a
statistical
fluctuation





H \rightarrow ZZ^(*) \rightarrow 4 leptons (July 2012)

- Look for four isolated high energy leptons
 - very clean, but rare!
- Reconstruct invariant mass of system
 - excellent mass resolution


Excess of events!

3.6 σ ($\sim 1 / 6300$)

M ~ 125.0 GeV




$$H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4e \quad ?$$



Observation of a new particle

- The five best channels are statistically combined (July 2012)
 - sophisticated treatment, including all systematic errors



p_0 : test of background only hypothesis

$$p_0 = 1.8 \times 10^{-9}$$

local: 5.9σ

$\sim 1 / 550,000,000$
chance of being a statistical fluctuation of the background!

global: 5.1σ
for 100-600 GeV

$$1.7 \times 10^{-7}$$

$\sim 1 / 5,900,000$

4 July 2012 CERN and Melbourne



CERN, 09:00



ICHEP 2012, Melbourne, 19:00



00:00 in Victoria!




$$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$$

Excess of events!

$M \sim 126.5$ GeV
 6.1σ

$\sim 1 / 2.3 \times 10^9$
 chance of
 being a
 statistical
 fluctuation



$$M = 126.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.7 \text{ (sys)} \text{ GeV}$$

H \rightarrow ZZ^(*) \rightarrow 4 leptons


Excess of events

4.1 σ ($\sim 1 / 48000$)


The difference between the measured masses is found to have a significance of 2.7 σ (or 0.8%).

$$M_{\gamma\gamma} - M_{4\ell} = 3.0 \pm 0.8 \text{ (stat)} \pm_{-0.6}^{+0.7} \text{ (sys)} \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_{4\ell} = 123.5 \pm 0.9 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.3 \text{ (sys)} \text{ GeV}$$



Higgs-like particle signal strength



$$\mu = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{SM}}} \frac{B}{B_{\text{SM}}} = 1.35 \pm 0.24$$

Compatibility with SM
 $\mu = 1$ with observed measurement is 13%


CMS obtains
 0.88 ± 0.21

more data being analysed...

ATLAS-CONF-2012-170


Mass Combination

$$M_H = 125.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.6 \text{ (sys)} \text{ GeV}$$



Is it the Higgs boson?

- We have discovered a new particle!
 - savour this privileged and historical moment
- Spin 0?
 - naturalness issue: M_H small only if protected by some symmetry
 - so far: **boson, not of spin 1**
- Couplings as predicted by the SM gauge symmetry?
 - otherwise at odds with gauge principle that rules all forces!
 - so far: 20-25% error on measured couplings, **agreement with SM**





Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 1-29 (ATLAS)

Many more questions

- * What is the nature of Dark Matter?
- * Why is there more matter than antimatter?
- * Can all forces be unified?
- * Is SuperSymmetry realized in Nature?
- * Are fundamental particles fundamental?
- * Are there extra dimensions of space?
- * Why three families of quarks and leptons?
- * Why are neutrinos so light?
- * What is Dark Energy?

Supersymmetry

- Theoretical idea: extended symmetry of Nature
 - Wess and Zumino, 1974
 - establishes a symmetry between fermions and bosons



- Required in most theories of new physics
- Predicts super-partners of all particles!
 - “sparticles”, not yet found: broken symmetry
- Many possible signatures sought for at the LHC!


Supersymmetry searches

- Aggressively probing weak scale SUSY between 100 GeV and 1 TeV

inclusive searches

natural SUSY

long lived particles



*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena shown.
All limits quoted are observed minus 1σ theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.

Exotic searches

- Many searches... no evidence for new physics so far

extra dimensions

substructure


W', Z'

leptoquarks

new quarks

substructure

10 TeV \rightarrow
 $\sim 2 \times 10^{-20}$ m



If it's the Higgs, is that it?


“Our future discoveries must be looked for in the 6th place of decimals.”

Albert A. Michelson, 1894




“There is nothing new to be discovered in physics now. All that remains is more and more precise measurement.”

William Thomson (Lord Kelvin), 1900




LHC forecast

Srini Rajagopalan,
HCP2012



More explorations



G. Dissertori, quoted by C. Grojean, HCP2012

- We are only starting the exploration of the TeV scale at the LHC!
- $300 \text{ fb}^{-1} \rightarrow 3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
 - precision measurement of **Higgs couplings** (in particular measure coupling with top and μ)
 - direct measurements of the **Higgs tri-linear self-couplings** via HH pair production: ~30% precision with ATLAS+CMS with 3000 fb^{-1}
 - extend the reach of **searches for physics beyond the Standard Model**, eg top-antitop resonances up to 7 TeV

...and the unexpected!

ATLAS UVic group

■ Faculty and Adjuncts

- Justin Albert
- Alan Astbury
- Richard Keeler
- Robert Kowalewski
- Michel Lefebvre
- Robert McPherson (Team Leader)
- Randall Sobie
- Isabel Trigger

■ Research Associates

- Vikas Bansal
- Florian Bernlochner
- Margret Fincke-Keeler
- Christopher Marino
- Alex Martyniuk

■ Graduate students

- Frank Berghaus
- Claire David
- Alison Elliot
- Ewan Hill
- Tony Kwan
- Matthew Leblanc
- Brock Moir
- Eric Ouellette
- James Pearce



■ Scientific support

- Ashok Agarwal
- Paul Poffenberger
- Ryan Taylor
- Chris Tooley



Conclusions

- Discovery of a new particle
 - a ~ 126 GeV neutral boson
 - historical event of great significance
 - is it the Standard Model Higgs boson?
 - decay into two photons **rules out spin 1**
 - so far **compatible with the SM Higgs**





- Exploration at the energy frontier
 - Excellent LHC performance
 - Excellent ATLAS performance
 - this is just the beginning
- Expect more exciting results from the LHC!!

Stay tuned!

■ ATLAS

- <http://atlas.ch/>

■ Opportunities for graduate studies!



Funding support for
ATLAS-Canada is
gratefully acknowledged:
NSERC, NRC and CFI.