Measurement of the Semileptonic $B - > D^{(*)} / \nu$ Decays Using a Global Fit

Babar Collaboration Wide Talk, July 1, 2008

> Kenji Hamano, University of Victoria



Semileptonic *B* decays and $|V_{cb}|$

- Semileptonic *B* decays
 - Inclusive decay BF~10%
 - $\bullet B -> X_c / \nu$
 - $(X_c:$ meson system including

a charm quark)



- Exclusive decays
 - $B -> D / \nu \sim 2.5\%$
 - $B \to D^* / \nu \sim 5.5\%$
 - $B \to D^{(*)} \pi I \nu$ (this include $B \to D^{**} I \nu$) ~1%
 - $B \to D^{(*)} \pi \pi I \nu \sim 1\%$?
- Best mode to measure $|V_{cb}|$
 - Experimentally accessible : Branching fraction~10%
 - Theoretically accessible : Heavy quark symmetry can be used to access non-perturbative QCD.

Motivation

- Poorly measured $B -> D l \nu$ mode.
 - Current accuracy is ~10-15%
- Inconsistency in $B \rightarrow D^* I \nu$ mode.
 - $B^0 > D^{*-}I^+ \nu$ branching fraction disagree between measurements.
 - $D^* > D\pi$ reconstruction issue :
 - The π is very soft (transverse momentum < 200MeV) and difficult to reconstruct.



 $\chi^2/dof = 34.8/9 (CL = 0.)$

- Inclusive exclusive disagreement.
 - $|V_{cb}|$ from inclusive and exclusive measurements differ 2σ .
 - Sum of $B -> DI\nu$, $B -> D^*I\nu$ and $B -> D^{(*)}\pi I\nu$ branching fraction does not add up to inclusive branching fraction.

Overview of method

- Reconstruct only $D^0/$ and $D^+/$ pairs.
 - Do not explicitly reconstruct D^{*} or D^{**}.
 - Free from soft π reconstruction issue.
 - Can access D^* and D^{**} because they feed down to D.
- Use kinematic variables to separate exclusive modes :
 - 3-dimensional (3D) binning in
 - Lepton momentum
 - D momentum
 - cosTheta(B-DI)
 - = cosine of the angle between *B* and *DI* pair,
 - assuming decay was $B -> D I \nu$.
- Simultaneously fit to D⁰ and D⁺ distributions with the sum of 3D MC histograms.
 - Fit for $B -> D I \nu$ and $B -> D^* I \nu$ branching fractions and form factor parameters.
 - Isospin constraints on $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}(\pi)/\nu$ decays.

2D distributions

2D distributions of candidates on (p_I^*, p_D^*) plane to show separation power.



Projection plots (Electron)



Event selection

- Continuum background is subtracted using off-peak data.
- Pre-selection of events
 - Cuts are adjusted on MC to maximize statistical significance of *DIν* yields after continuum subtraction.
 - Cuts on *B* and *D* vertex probabilities to suppress combinatorial background.
 - Cuts on the angle between thrust axis of "DI pair" and "other charged tracks and neutrals" to suppress continuum background.
- Combinatorial background is subtracted using *D* mass sidebands.
- To reduce $B\overline{B}$ backgrounds :
 - $p_{I}^{*} > 1.2 \text{ GeV}, \ p_{D}^{*} > 0.8 \text{ GeV}$
 - -2 < cosTheta(B-DI) < 1.1</p>
- Run1-4, 207fb⁻¹ of data was used.



Exclusive decay rates and form factor

- Semileptonic decay rate is
 - $\Gamma \propto |V_{cb}|^2 |J(W)|^2$
 - This J(w) is the form factor part
 - $J(w) \propto F(1) [1 \rho^2 (w-1) + ...]$ (0<(w-1)<0.6)
 - ρ^2 is the form factor slope.
 - w is the velocity transfer

•
$$W = V_B^* V_D = \rho_B^* \rho_D / (m_B^* m_D)$$

- Related to momentum transfer q^2 .
- Putting everything together

•
$$\Gamma \propto (F(1)|V_{cb}|)^2 [1 - \rho^2(w-1) + ...]^2$$

Form factor slope

We can measure only this product. Needs lattice QCD input for F(1) to extract |Vcb|.

CLN parameterization

- We used parameterization based on Caprini-Lellouch-Neubert (Nucl.Phys.B530, 153(1998)).
 - Include higher order of (w-1).
 - Relate curvature and slope using dispersive bounds.
 - Can be expressed with one parameter : slope ρ^2

•
$$\Gamma \propto (F(1) | V_{cb} |)^2 \times$$

 $[1-8\rho^2 z + (51\rho^2 - 10)z^2 + (231\rho^2 - 91)z^3]^2$

where

$$z = \frac{\sqrt{w+1} - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{w+1} + \sqrt{2}} \qquad (0 < (w-1) < 0.6) \\ (0 < z < 0.06)$$

Fitting Method

- χ^2 is given by $\chi^2 = \sum_{i} \frac{\left(N_i^{data} - \sum_{j} C_j M_{ij}^{MC}\right)^2}{\left(\sigma_i^{data}\right)^2 + \sum_{j} \left(C_j \sigma_{ij}^{MC}\right)^2}$ i = bin
 - N_i = number of data candidates in *i*-th bin, afer off-peak subtraction.
 - M_{ij} = number of simulated candidates of mode *j* in *i*-th bin.
 - *j* = exclusive decay modes.

• $j=1: D \nu, j=2: D^* \nu$ etc.

- σ = corresponding statistical uncertainties.
- Coefficients C_j include variables to fit for : $Dl\nu$ and $D^*l\nu$ branching fractions and form factor slopes.
- Fit to electron and muon separately and combine them.

$B - > D^{**} / \nu$ and $B - > D^{(*)} \pi \pi \pi / \nu$

- $\bullet B > D^{**} / \nu$
 - We use HQET-inspired Leibovich-Ligeti-Stewart-Wise model (Phys.Rev.D57,308(1998)).
 - This is the first time this model was used in Babar analysis.
- $B \to D^{(*)} \pi \pi / \nu$
 - This is completely unknown decay mode. $BF(B - > D^{(*)}\pi \pi I\nu) = (1.1 \pm 1.1)$ % is assumed to saturate inclusive BF.
 - We generate decays of the type :

 $B \to X_{c} / \nu \to D^{(*)} \pi \pi / \nu$,

 $B \to Y_c / \nu \to D^{(*)} \rho / \nu \to D^{(*)} \pi \pi / \nu$

We assume 4-intermediate resonances:

name	mass (GeV)	width (GeV)	spin
X_{c}	2.61	0.3	0
X_a^*	2.61	0.3	1
Y_c	2.87	0.1	0
Y_c^*	2.87	0.1	1

Mass just above $D^* \pi \pi$ threshold

Mass just above $D^*\rho$ threshold

Systematic uncertainties (summary)

- Main source of systematic errors :
 - Not well measured $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \pi I \nu$ branching fractions and form factors.
 - Unknown $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \pi \pi I \nu$ component.
 - D^* and D decay branching fractions.
 - Electron : Radiative correction.
 Muon : Particle identification.

Systematic uncertainties (all)

		Ele	ctron sa	mple				Μ	uon sam	ple	
item	$\rho_{D}^{2} \rho_{D}^{2}$	$\bar{D}^{0}\ell^{+}\nu$	$\overline{D}^{*0}\ell^+\nu$	$\mathcal{G}(1) V_{cb} $	$\mathcal{F}(1) V_{cb} $	ρ_D^2	$\rho_{D^*}^2$	$\overline{D}^{0}\ell^{+}\nu$	$\bar{D}^{*0}\ell^{+}\nu$	$\mathcal{G}(1) V_{cb} $	$\mathcal{F}(1) V_{cb} $
R'_1	0.44 2.7	0.69	-0.38	0.60	0.71	0.46	2.69	0.72	-0.40	0.61	0.70
R'_2	-0.37 1.04	-0.18	0.30	-0.30	0.49	-0.42	0.97	-0.19	0.30	-0.31	0.48
D^{**} slope	-1.04 - 2.6	4 -0.09	-0.09	-0.64	-0.90	-0.93	-2.74	-0.12	-0.10	-0.56	-0.96
D ^{**} FF approximation	-1.01 0.56	-0.10	0.22	-0.63	0.29	-1.18	0.60	-0.11	0.23	-0.68	0.32
$B(B^+ \rightarrow D^{(*)}\pi\ell\nu)$	0.41 -0.3	6 -0.09	-0.88	0.19	-0.56	0.75	-0.41	0.01	-0.95	0.41	-0.61
$f_{D_{2}^{*}/D_{1}}$	-0.22 0.09	-0.23	0.10	-0.24	0.08	-0.28	0.10	-0.25	0.12	-0.28	0.09
$f_{D_{0}^{*}D\pi/D_{1}D_{2}^{*}}$	-2.55 1.49	-1.91	1.19	-2.46	1.08	-3.44	1.63	-1.94	1.27	-2.85	1.18
$f_{D_{1}^{*}D^{*}\pi/D_{1}D_{2}^{*}}$	1.38 -0.8	8 1.03	-0.59	1.30	-0.59	1.85	-0.92	1.06	-0.65	1.51	-0.63
$f_{D\pi/D_{a}^{*}}$	-0.80 -1.2	5 0.33	0.16	-0.30	-0.33	-0.75	-1.20	0.29	0.18	-0.26	-0.32
$f_{D^*\pi/D^*}$	-0.18 -0.0	5 -0.12	0.19	-0.16	0.08	-0.26	-0.04	-0.14	0.21	-0.21	0.09
NR D^*/D ratio	0.69 -0.1	7 0.26	-0.13	0.53	-0.09	0.81	-0.16	0.26	-0.14	0.56	-0.11
$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow D^{(*)}\pi \pi \ell \nu)$	1.14 -2.0	3 0.25	-1.30	0.78	-1.31	1.86	-1.77	0.40	-1.22	1.18	-1.20
X^*/X an Y^*/Y ratio	0.58 -1.1	8 0.09	-0.28	0.38	-0.53	0.70	-1.04	0.08	-0.25	0.41	-0.47
X/Y and X^*/Y^* ratio	0.72 -0.8	5 0.21	-0.66	0.52	-0.61	1.02	-0.79	0.25	-0.64	0.67	-0.58
$D_1 \rightarrow D\pi\pi$	2.09 - 1.5	9 0.74	-1.09	1.60	-1.06	2.57	-1.53	0.75	-1.08	1.77	-1.05
$f_{D_{2}^{*}}$	-0.07 -0.0	1 -0.06	0.04	-0.07	0.02	-0.09	-0.00	-0.06	0.04	-0.08	0.02
$\mathcal{B}(D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$	0.67 -0.0	1 0.42	-0.34	0.60	-0.17	0.73	-0.01	0.40	-0.34	0.59	-0.17
$\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$	0.62 0.03	-0.21	-1.57	0.26	-0.78	0.82	0.10	-0.27	-1.61	0.31	-0.77
$\mathcal{B}(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$	-1.27 -0.4	0 -2.03	0.30	-1.74	0.02	-1.23	-0.41	-1.96	0.27	-1.62	-0.00
τ_{B+}/τ_{B^0}	0.22 0.1'	0.61	0.27	0.43	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.57	0.28	0.39	0.20
f_{+-}/f_{00}	0.81 0.43	0.63	-0.54	0.78	-0.13	0.82	0.49	0.54	-0.53	0.70	-0.10
Number of $B\overline{B}$ events	0.00 -0.0	0 -1.11	-1.11	-0.55	-0.55	0.00	-0.00	-1.11	-1.11	-0.55	-0.55
Off-peak Luminosity	0.04 0.03	-0.02	-0.00	0.02	0.00	0.06	0.00	-0.02	-0.00	0.02	-0.00
B momentum distribution	-0.89 0.63	3 1.28	-0.55	-1.11	0.47	1.19	-0.09	1.24	-0.66	1.26	-0.36
Lepton PID eff.	0.47 0.1'	1.20	0.83	0.87	0.47	3.14	0.09	5.08	5.86	1.99	2.93
Lepton mis-ID	0.03 0.03	-0.01	-0.01	0.01	-0.00	2.49	0.71	-0.57	-0.51	1.03	-0.01
Kaon PID	0.06 0.83	0.27	0.24	0.17	0.39	0.96	0.72	0.34	0.29	0.68	0.39
Tracking eff.	-0.90 -0.4	6 -3.29	-2.02	-2.17	-1.16	-0.51	-0.30	-3.30	-2.11	-1.93	-1.16
Radiative corrections	-2.95 - 1.0	8 -2.89	-0.71	-2.99	-0.70	-0.71	-0.62	-0.82	-0.24	-0.78	-0.33
Bremsstrahlung	0.07 -0.0	0 -0.13	-0.29	-0.03	-0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vertexing	0.80 -0.6	8 0.63	0.59	0.78	0.07	1.66	-0.78	0.95	0.54	1.37	0.01
Background	1.32 1.12	0.63	0.34	1.05	0.52	1.55	1.10	0.67	0.38	1.15	0.49
Total	5.90 5.8	4 5.97	4.09	5.91	3.29	7.69	5.67	7.29	7.14	6.01	4.33

07/01/2008

Results

Parameters	De sample	$D\mu$ sample	combined result
ρ_D^2	$1.27 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.07$	$1.16 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.09$	$1.23 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07$
$\rho_{D^{*}}^{2}$	$1.22 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.07$	$1.23 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.07$	$1.21 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.07$
$B(\bar{D}^{0}\ell^{+}\nu)(\%)$	$2.43 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.14$	$2.30 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.17$	$2.38 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.13$
$\mathcal{B}(\bar{D}^{*0}\ell^+\nu)(\%)$	$5.38 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.22$	$5.19 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.37$	$5.32 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.21$
χ^2 /n.d.f. (probability)	425/470 (0.93)	498/466 (0.15)	2.1/4 (0.71)

- Good χ^2 .
- Electron and muon results are consistent.
- Combined results agree with world average.

$$D'\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(1)|V_{cb}| = (44.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-3}$$

$$D^*\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(1)|V_{cb}| = (35.6 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}.$$

Comparison with others



 V_{cb}

$$D^* \ell \nu$$
: $|V_{cb}| = (38.2 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$

 $D\ell\nu$: $|V_{cb}| = (41.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$.

- These two results agree.
- We used (Okamoto *et.al.*, hep-lat/0409116) $G(1) = 1.074 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.016$ and (Laiho, arXiv:0710.1111[hep-lat]) $F(1) = 0.924 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.019$

Inclusive average (HFAG, Kinetic Scheme) $|V_{cb}| = (41.91 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.59) \times 10^{-3}$

Averaging with other Babar results.

- We average over Babar measurements :
 - [6] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 151802 (2008).
 - [9] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 77, 032002 (2008).
 - [10] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 231803 (2008).
- to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(B^- \to D^{*0} \ell \overline{\nu}) &= (5.49 \pm 0.20)\% \quad (\chi^2 \text{ probab.}=0.17) \\ \rho_{D^*}^2 &= 1.19 \pm 0.05 \quad (\chi^2 \text{ probab.}=0.87) \\ \mathcal{F}(1)|V_{cb}| &= (35.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3} \quad (\chi^2 \text{ probab.}=0.67) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(B^- \to D^0 \ell \overline{\nu}) = (2.35 \pm 0.10)\%$$
 (χ^2 probab.=0.95)

Details are in BAD1586

Summary

• We used global fit to determine

Form factor slopes : $\begin{array}{ll} \rho_D^2 &= 1.23 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07 \\ \rho_{D^*}^2 &= 1.21 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.07 \\ Branching fractions : \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 \ell^+ \nu) &= (2.38 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.13)\% \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \bar{D}^{*0} \ell^+ \nu) &= (5.32 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.21)\% \end{array}$

- This method is quite different from and complementary to previous measurements.
- Results agrees with world average.
- We calculated $G(1)|V_{cb}|$ and $F(1)|V_{cb}|$
 - $G(1)|V_{cb}|$ is twice as accurate as world average.
 - $F(1)|V_{cb}|$ is as precise as best single measurement.
- $|V_{cb}|$ is also extracted.
- Documents :
 - PRD draft : BAD1781
 - Support document : BAD1586

Projection plots (Muon)

